

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION S-E-C-R-E-T	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO SR 3
TO INFO	Chief of Base, Madrid OSG, Germany		HEADQUARTERS FILE NO [REDACTED]
FROM	Chief, SR		DATE 23 AUG 1958
SUBJECT	RESEARCH/ANALYSIS/INFORMATION Research SUBJECT		RE "42-1" - (CHECK "X" ONE) ☐ MARKED FOR INDEXING ☒ NO INDEXING REQUIRED ☐ INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ DESK ONLY
ACTION REQUIRED	Info. For information only.		
REFERENCE(S)	1. In response to a telephone request from the Chief, SR/3, contact with [REDACTED] was made by [REDACTED] in Washington on 15 day. At that time [REDACTED] stated that major contact with the AIE to discuss operational capabilities of Russian groupings living in the Near East and who are associated with the AIE. Since Subject was accompanied by [REDACTED], the contact with Subject was not affected. In view of this, [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] to obtain from Subject answers to questions regarding SR/3's assets, potential and intentions regarding visiting the Washington mission. 2. Attached is a self-explanatory report of the 31 July contact with [REDACTED] who has been accompanying Subject on his Washington visits. 3. On 1 August [REDACTED] met with Subject and [REDACTED] at the Roger Smith. The essence of what was said constituted a general summary of what a typical emigre organization, active in the anti-Soviet field, does or purports to do. No specific actions were cited. Subject passed from the theme of AIE activities to "what should be done." This again was general; i.e., establishment of radio facilities, greater support for propaganda publications, etc. There was nothing which indicated a new approach to the problem of contacting Communism. Noting Subject's desire to contact AIE, [REDACTED] inquired if his British contacts had been alerted to this desire. Subject stated that neither the AIE nor the GIB furnished him funds and that he was a free agent (to contact AIE). Subject did not attempt to press for further discussion on any given topic. [REDACTED] conducted the meeting on a note of polite attention to a distinguished emigre personality but no concrete encouragement was given Subject.		
ATTACHMENT: SUMMARY Washington contact report Distribution: 2 - OSG, Madrid w/att 2 - OSG, Germany w/att (12 August 58) SR/3/PP:MIW:pl			
FORM 10-57 53		USE PREVIOUS EDITION REPLACES FORMS 51-78, 51-78A AND 51-79 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE	CLASSIFICATION S-E-C-R-E-T
PAGE NO		CONTINUED	

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHODSEXEMPTION 3B2D
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

BU CL. X

SECRET

22 JULY 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, SA/3

FROM: []

SUBJECT: []

Meeting with Walter BURNETT on 21 July 1978

DISCUSSION:

1. []

(om/000)
[] Department of Defense

2. []

1. In the afternoon of 20 July 1978, BURNETT, SA/3, requested that the Staff get in touch with [] to let the latter know that BURNETT was in town.

2. At 1400 hours on 21 July, I telephoned BURNETT at the Roger Smith Hotel (National 8-6716, Room 810). BURNETT indicated that he was in Washington with BURNETT for the hearings of the House Committee on Un-American Activities. During the conversation we arranged the following:

It was agreed that I would call BURNETT again today (21 July) at 1400 hours at which time we would set a meeting time dependent upon BURNETT's schedule. I also indicated that I wanted to see BURNETT alone (i.e., without Legislative COUNCIL, who is again accompanying BURNETT and acting as his interpreter).

3. When I telephoned BURNETT again at 1400 hours, he informed me that BURNETT's schedule was such that he could not meet on 21 July (21 August 1978). In view of the fact that I will be out of town tomorrow, I told BURNETT that I would meet him at 1300 hours today (21 July) and that I would bring with me an individual who could then meet with BURNETT tomorrow.

4. []

5. At 1400 hours today [] and I arrived at the Roger Smith Hotel and telephoned BURNETT in his room who asked for five minutes "to tidy up his room". (After entering his room at 1430 hours BURNETT stated that he had in fact been talking to two members of NSA and had to stop them and refer to our entry.

- 2 -

SECRET

BU CL. X

BU COPY

Memorandum (Continued)

5. After introducing [redacted] to the questions which I had posed to STERN, I asked DUMMITZ whether we were to the questions which I had posed to STERN via DUMMITZ at our last meeting. DUMMITZ stated that he had posed the questions but that he had understood that I personally would get the answers from STERN and that he in no way had attempted to get those answers. [redacted] stated that he was to get the answers to the questions which I had posed. In view of this either his memory is mighty poor or else STERN has stated his readiness to answer my questions if he were asked those questions by the United States Government. In my opinion the latter is the case. I did not pursue the subject but I did indicate that it had been my clear understanding that STERN was to have answered my questions to DUMMITZ and that I or a representative of mine would pick up the answers from DUMMITZ.

6. Further, I stated that in view of the fact that STERN desired to talk on Russian matters I had brought with me [redacted] who was interviewed specifically in this area. At this time [redacted] made arrangements to meet STERN at 1100 [redacted] on August 1, 1945, in DUMMITZ's room at the Hotel Smith Hotel. [redacted] will pick up this arrangement by telephone with DUMMITZ at the latter's hotel room tomorrow about 0900 hours.

7. Prior to leaving I told DUMMITZ that I was following with interest the activities of Mr. STERN while he is in this country. On 14 May, STERN had stated to the House Committee that his organization was in operational contact with elements of the OSE in the Ukraine. In view of this statement I suggested that STERN might wish to discuss this matter in greater detail but in no way indicated to whom or when. An arrangement was made for a future meeting between STERN and myself.

STERN.

8. In view of the fact that STERN quite obviously refused to discuss through DUMMITZ the basic questions regarding the intentions of his organization in the United States, it seems to me that he is intentionally making our approach. In view of this it behooves us to catch him in turn. Since I am certainly a representative of the United States Government on the highest level, my refusal to see him by not making any commitment for a future meeting should serve as a rebuff to him. However, the rebuff is not a final closing of the door since [redacted] will at least listen to what STERN has to say about his Russian matters. I have only [redacted] to teach an Ukrainian lesson in any way except to indicate that fact that we were sorry a "misunderstanding" had arisen regarding the manner in which we were to have gotten answers to the questions we had previously posed. Further, [redacted] will state that the United States Government will continue to watch with interest the activities of the STERN.

SECRET

Summary (Continued)

9. Although prior to this intended meeting we had agreed that we would explore the possibility of a direct contact between "interested" U.S. agencies and the EMBASSY/WH, I believe that in view of the factors pointed up above such conversations could be premature.

10. I believe the present approach as suggested above will serve the purpose of hearing out what EMBASSY has to say on Mexican affairs and should also make him aware that we, in turn, are aware of Mexican matters as well as the refined niceties of the present situation.

- 3 -

SECRET

in COPY